

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/725,672	12/02/2003	Paul Brent Rivers	BE1-0025US	5089	
49584 75	90 12/02/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LEE & HAYES, PLLC		·	WATSON, ROBERT C		
421 W. RIVERS SUITE 500	SIDE AVE.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SPOKANE, W	A 99201		3723		

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		TAM				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/725,672	RIVERS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_			
	Robert C. Watson	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 No.	ovember 2005.	•				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11,14,15 and 20</u> is/ar	e withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-10,12,13 and 16-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r alastian raquiroment	•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No				
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li></ul>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau		ou in this reational stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
Attachment(s)		:				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/2304 &amp; 12/17/03.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F  6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
			_			

Regarding the IDS of 1/23/04 certain of the items listed that do not have a date have been lined through by the examiner since it cannot be ascertained if these items are prior art. Regarding the IDS of 12/17/03 the items listed are patent applications which are not prior art and have been lined through by the examiner.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-10, 12, and16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pace.

Pace shows an apparatus for running wire comprising a housing 11, a locking member 16, a piston 17, a coil spring 18, a notch 24, a guide pin 19, a projectile 15, and a wire 14. The frame surrounding the locking trigger may be termed a rail. Claim 1 merely inferentially includes the projectile and the pull string as an intended use statement. The projectile and pull string are therefor not considered to be part of the claimed apparatus for running wire and have not been accorded any patentable weight. In any case, Pace does, in fact, show a projectile and a line. The type of projectile rused is no more than a matter of intended use.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/725,672

Art Unit: 3723

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pace in view of Doud.

Doud teaches that a projectile firing device may have slots in the housing for receiving guide pins attached to the piston.

To provide slots in the Pace housing for receiving guide pins attached to the piston would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made in view of the disclosure of Doud. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to guide the movement of the projectile and to further provide a convenient means of retracting the piston into the housing against the bias of the spring.

Claims 11, 14-15, and 20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention/species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 11/14/05 and 9/27/05.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert C. Watson whose telephone number is 571 272-4498. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs., 5:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail III can be reached on 571 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/725,672

Art Unit: 3723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rcw

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4